PATENT COOPERATION TREATY REC'D 25 JUL 2005

	PC
VIPO	

592,91

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

see form PCT/ISA/220

To:

14.03.2005

### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Date of mailing

(day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)

Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220

FOR FURTHER ACTION

See paragraph 2 below

International application No. PCT/IB2005/050898

International filing date (day/month/year)

Priority date (day/month/year)

18.03.2004

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC B23F13/06, B23F23/00, F16H1/16

Applicant

UNIVERSITA DEGLI STUDI DI ROMA "TOR VERGATA"

1	This opinion	contains	indications	relating	to the	following	items:
---	--------------	----------	-------------	----------	--------	-----------	--------

☑ Box No. I	Basis of the opinion
-------------	----------------------

☐ Box No. II Priority

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☐ Box No. III

Lack of unity of invention ☐ Box No. IV

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V

applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Certain documents cited ☐ Box No. VI

Certain defects in the international application ☐ Box No. VII

☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

#### **FURTHER ACTION** 2.

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the international Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of malling of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Authorized Officer

Eder, R

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-7553



### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IB2005/050898

	Box No	
1.	the lang	gard to the <b>language</b> , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in guage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	lan (ur	is opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following guage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search or rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With re	gard to any <b>nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence</b> disclosed in the international application and ary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. type	of material:
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. form	nat of material:
		in written format
		in computer readable form
	c. time	e of filing/furnishing:
		contained in the international application as filed.
	. 🗖	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
	ŀ	n addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating there has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional oppies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
•	4 8 -1 -1:	ional comments:

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43*bis*.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or Industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-20

No:

No:

Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

Claims

1-20

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-20

Claims No:

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/IB2005/050898

#### Re Item V.

1 Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: WO 03/042574 A (STOIANOVICI, DAN; KAVOUSSI, LOUIS; HOLTZ,

DOUGLAS) 22 May 2003 (2003-05-22)

D2: US-A-6 148 683 (FLEYTMAN ET AL) 21 November 2000 (2000-11-21).

### 2 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1 and 6 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

Document D1 discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

A generating device and a method of cutting a worm gear, the generating device (page 21 lines 3-16) suitable for the definition of races (20) of the worm gear (200) in a worm-gear reduction unit (100, 200) with circulation of bearing balls (3), comprising a main body (page 21 line 3-7) shaped as an angular portion of the worm (1) apt to mate with said worm gear (2) and having an extension equal to an angular pitch of the worm (1) itself; onto which portion is added the envelope (page 18 line 24 to page 29 line 4) of the position of a ball inside the race of the worm (1), the centres of which ball define a reference cylindrical helix (page 29 line 2-7) and wherein the radius of the ball is not necessarily identical to that of the ball (3) circulating in the reduction unit.

The subject matter of claims 1 and 6 therefore differs from that of document D1 in that the cutting kinematics of the worm gear is applied to the worm.

As known by the person skilled in the art, each of the worm or worm wheel profiles may be derived by generating an envelope profile of the mating partner. The application of the worm wheel cutting process to the worm too, is therefore not regarded as involving an inventive step.

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/IB2005/050898

DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2-5 and 7-20
Dependent claims 2-5 and 7-20 do not seem to contain any additional features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of inventive step (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT) in view of the documents cited in the search report and the general technical knowledge of the person skilled in the art.